



Signed and Filed: September 13, 2021

Dennis Montali

DENNIS MONTALI
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re:) Bankruptcy Case
PG&E CORPORATION,) No. 19-30088-DM
) Chapter 11
- and -) Jointly Administered
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,)
Reorganized Debtors.)
☐ Affects PG&E Corporation)
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and)
Electric Company)
☒ Affects both Debtors)
* All papers shall be filed in)
the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).)

ORDER FOR FURTHER BRIEFING BY RICKY-DEAN HORTON

On September 10, 2021, the Reorganized Debtors filed their *Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration of Ricky-Dean Horton and Cross-Motion for Disallowance and Expungement of Proof of Claim No. 107857* ("Opposition to Reconsideration") (Dkt. 11240) as directed by the court's *Order Regarding Motion for Reconsideration by Ricky D. Horton* (Dkt. 11135).

1 The court's subsequent *Order Clarifying Order Regarding*
2 *Motion for Reconsideration by Ricky D. Horton* (Dkt. 11154),
3 stated, in part:

4 "The court cancelled [the October 8] hearing
5 intentionally to keep open various options once
6 Reorganized Debtors respond. It intentionally ordered
7 Reorganized Debtors to file their response by September
8 10, 2021, and intentionally ordered that following the
9 court's consideration of that response, it will issue an
10 appropriate order. There is no absolute right to an
11 actual hearing on a motion such as this."

12 Consistent with that directive, the court deems it
13 appropriate to permit Mr. Horton to respond to the Opposition to
14 Reconsideration. Accordingly, Mr. Horton is to file his
15 response no later than September 30, 2021. In that response he
16 is directed not to deal with his views whether the above
17 mentioned orders were authorized (they were), his opinions
18 regarding the scheduling of hearings (that is the court's
19 responsibility) or whether he had an excusable reason for not
20 timely responding to the *Reorganized Debtors' Ninety-Third*
21 *Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Legal Liability Claims)* (Dkt.
22 10808).

23 His response should specifically address the Reorganized
24 Debtors' argument that he lacks a meritorious defense to
25 Reorganized Debtors' contention that the California statute of
26 limitations bars any claim he has for his late brother's
27 unfortunate death. See *Opposition to Reconsideration*, Dkt. 11240
28 at pages 8 & 9.

After the earlier of Mr. Horton's response or September 30,
2021, the matter will stand submitted unless the court sets a

1 hearing for oral argument. Mr. Horton's proposals for a
2 hearing on October 19, 2021, and other matters in his *Motions to*
3 *Strike, etc.* and his *Motion for Change of Hearing, etc.* (Dkt.
4 No. 11174) and *Exhibit 1: Proposed Order* (Dkt. No. 11183) are
5 rejected. No hearing will be held on that date unless
6 specifically ordered by the court.

7 Mr. Horton is urged to consider engaging counsel to assist
8 him in complying with the September 30, 2021, deadline.

9 ****END OF ORDER****

COURT SERVICE LIST

Ricky-Dean Horton
751 Rosemary Court
Fairfield, CA 94533